



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKE	ET NO.	CONFIRMATION N	0.
10/003,789 11/15/2001		Joseph Celi JR.	BOC9-2001-0037	(280)	4876		
40987	7590	12/01/2005			EXAMINER		
AKERMA	ERFITT	NGUYEN, QUYNH H			ū		
P. O. BOX 3					r		
WEST PAL	H, FL 33402-3188	ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER			
				2642			

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary			3,789	CELI ET AL.	
			iner	Art Unit	
		Quynh	n H. Nguyen	2642	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINIORS of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply is specified above, the maximum set or eply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n munication. tatutory period will apply a y will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUI to event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) M application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) fill This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract	2b)⊠ This action for allowance exc	is non-final. ept for formal m		ne merits is
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat i	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction Papers The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are	are withdrawn from ction and/or election	on requirement.	to by the Examiner.	
_	Applicant may not request that any objected to the court of the court	ection to the drawing g the correction is re	(s) be held in abey quired if the drawi	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 (* *
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	or documents have to documents have to of the priority document Bureau (PCT)	been received. been received in uments have bed Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this Nationa	al Stage
Attachmen 1) ⊠ Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	w Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o r No(s)/Mail Date	•	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date If Informal Patent Application (P7	ГО-152)

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 1-7 and 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelleher et al. (Pub.No: US 2002/0118808) in view of Michalewicz (U.S. Patent 6,792,092).

Regarding claim 1, Kelleher et al. teach the steps of: establishing a voice browsing session between a calling party (user 20a) and the voice browser (Fig. 1, voice browser 53) provided by a voice server (Fig. 1, voice server 55) that interfaces with a telephony network (Fig. 1, communication network 70) via a gateway (Fig. 1, in web portal system 25 and user interface 32) (page 2, [0017] — where Kelleher et al. discussed to operate the conference, initializing user 20a invokes the user interface 32 via a user interface device 36, voice browser 53 answers the phone); and conferencing within an application level component (page 2, [0022], lines 9-15 - where Kelleher discussed using the conference program 48 to connect users) connects parties or users into the voice browsing session, conference providing a voice communications link between the calling party and additional party established via the telephony network (page 3, [0021] and [0022]).

Kelleher et al. do not explicitly teach dynamically coordinating voice data streams between the calling party and the at least one additional party with a voice data stream manager.

Michalewicz teaches adaptive summers 58 aggregate voice data streams between the calling party and the at least one additional party to output streams for participants to a conference call (col. 6, lines 11-15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the feature of coordinating voice data streams between the calling party and the at least one additional party to output streams for participants to a conference call, as taught by Michalewicz, in Kelleher's conference system, thus making the system more efficient by providing participants of a conference independent and discrete control of audio signal strength, as discussed by Michalewicz (col. 1, lines 38-45).

Regarding claims 2 and 10, Kelleher et al. teach the conferencing step conferences additional parties into the voice browsing session and wherein the application level component is a voice markup application (page 2, [0022]).

Regarding claims 3 and 11, Kelleher et al. teach providing an identifier associated with said additional party from the voice browser to the conferencing component (page 2, [0017], lines 1-13); and initiating an outbound call from the conferencing component to the additional party (page 3, [0022], lines 9-15).

Application/Control Number: 10/003,789

Art Unit: 2642

Claims 4-7, and 12-13 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed with respect to claim 1. Furthermore, Kelleher et al. teaches sending the single voice data stream to the voice browser / voice server (page 2, [0017], lines 14-34).

Claim 9 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 1. Furthermore, Kelleher et al. teach a machine-readable storage, having stored a computer program having a plurality of code sections executable by a machine (page 1, [0012], lines 10-19, page 3 [0013], conference client program 48, conference calling program 60).

Regarding claims 14-16, Kelleher et al. teach conferencing step occurs within a VoiceXML programming environment (page 2, [0013]).

3. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelleher et al. (Pub.No: US 2002/0118808) in view of Michalewicz (U.S. Patent 6,792,092) and further in view of Rabenko et al. (U.S. Patent 6,765,931).

Regarding claim 8, Kelleher et al. and Michalewicz do not teach a discriminator configured to discriminate between a voice data stream of the calling party and the additional party and selectively route audio from the voice browser to at least one voice browser.

Rabenko et al. teach a discriminator configured to discriminate between a voice data stream of the calling party and the additional party and selectively route audio from the voice browser to at least one voice browser (col. 47, lines 20-32).

Discriminating whose voice in a conference or telephone conversation is desirable. The advantage of distinguishing the voice of a person that is talking during any conversation is also well known. For example, in a call center, while a customer service representative or agent is helping a customer, discriminating the voice of the agent and the customer is helpful to know that during the conversation between the agent and the customer how long the agent spent talking.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant's arguments are addressed in the above claims rejections.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quynh H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7489. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Thursday from 6:15 A.M. to 4:45 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on 571-272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/003,789

Art Unit: 2642

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Page 6

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Duych H. N zugen

Quynh H. Nguyen Patent Examiner Art Unit 2642